

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Sizewell C](#)
Subject: Sizewell C
Date: 12 October 2021 09:30:41

Dear Planning Inspector

My ref: 20025682

I write one final time to register my objection to the building of Sizewell C. I have never before been actively involved in a planning process, but I have felt so strongly about the harm that this project will do that I have felt compelled to contribute to the debate.

I will not repeat all the arguments that have been so articulately expressed over the past few years, but I do believe that EDF have shown themselves to be either incompetent or duplicitous (perhaps both?) throughout the planning process. An obvious example of this is their very late addition of a Water Desalination Plant to their planning request, in spite of the need for this being pointed out by many as far back as 2010 (see that year's letter to EADT). Their track record of delivering nuclear plants on time and on budget is, frankly, terrible, and surely this should be taken into account in this process: it would be a first if their promise of £20bn cost and a 10-year build is actually met! Please examine EDF's promises at the same stage of the Hinkley process and compare these promises to what has actually transpired! The specific model planned for Sizewell is is - to put it mildly - unproven (the type of reactor EDF wants to build (the EPR) has an appalling track record. The few EPRs under construction are all well over budget and – in France and Finland – running a decade late. The only operating EPR in China has reported degraded fuel rod sealings and been closed after international attention.), while the coastline where they plan to build is among the fastest eroding in Europe, and there has been nothing in the plans for coastal defences that reassures me.

I am conscious of accusations of 'nimbyism' but, having examined my motives for objecting closely, I am confident that this is not the case. That said, I do have profound local concerns, particularly for the thriving Suffolk tourism industry which currently provides both the employment and on-going prosperity. I am afraid that I simply do not believe that the number of local jobs created by Sizewell will come anywhere near matching those that will be lost through its construction period and beyond. Add to this the permanent - and very real - damage it will do to our AONB and I see little that will be gained for the local community.

So I believe strongly that Sizewell C is the wrong project in the wrong location. It will contribute nothing to the government's 2035 net zero targets (quite the opposite actually) while the on-going issue of nuclear waste sends shivers of shame down my back when I think of what we are bequeathing future generations.

I urge you therefore - please - to reject the planning application for the building of Sizewell C.

Yours sincerely

Paul Taylor

